technische universität dortmund

FS Center for Research on Education and School Development

A longitudinal analysis of reciprocal relations between students' well-being and academic achievement

Ruben Kleinkorres, Justine Stang, & Nele McElvany

Center for Research on Education and School Development (IFS), TU Dortmund University

Overview

Besides acquisition of academic competencies, well-being is an important educational goal (e.g., Van Petegem et al., 2006) and it has been shown that both outcomes are mutually dependent. However, until now, most studies used cross-sectional designs so that the direction of the relation is not yet fully understood.

Students' well-being

- Students' well-being can be approached by the theory of subjective well-being (SWB; Diener, 1984) \rightarrow Basis: Hedonic view
- Components of students' well-being (see Figure 1; e.g., Hascher, 2004; WHO, 2014): Physical (e.g., days of absent), psychological with cognitive and an emotional component (e.g., satisfaction with school), and social (e.g., relationships with

Students' well-being and academic achievement

- Positive correlation between students' well-being and academic achievement (r = .16; see meta-analysis by Bücker et al., 2018)
- Only few studies analyzed the relation between students' well-being and academic achievement longitudinally (e.g., Steinmayr et al., 2016).
- . Theoretically, the direction can be postulated from academic achievement to well-



Research questions

1) Do reciprocal relations exist between academic achievement and a) physical well-being, b) cognitive well-being, and c) emotional well-being?

2) Do the reciprocal patterns differ between a) gender and b) type of school?

Method

🛉 🕇 Sample

- . Students who participated in the Nationale Educational Panel Study (3rd starting cohort)
- . Focus on traditional academic tracks of the German secondary school system

4500	
4000	

Table 1: Sample characteristics of the two groups viewed in longitudinal section

being as well as vice versa (see e.g., broaden-and-build-theory, Fredrickson, 2001; selfdetermination-theory, Ryan, & Deci, 2000).

Gender, type of school, students' SWB and achievement

- Girls compared to boys are more satisfied with school, perceive more physical issues and stress (e.g., Hascher & Hagenauer, 2011; Schurt & Waburg, 2007).
- Girls tend to have better grades than boys (e.g., Berger et al., 2011) \rightarrow gender-specific differences regarding the relation between SWB and academic achievement possible
- . Different types of school represent diverse learning environments (e.g., Baumert et al.,
- 2009) \rightarrow relation between achievement motivation and well-being depends on school characteristics (Opdenakker & van Damme, 2000).

Table 2: Means, standard deviations and skewness for the variables used in the analyses of the study.

Instrument	Range	Number	Mean (SD)	Reliability
		of items		



Analytical Strategy

Figure 2. Sample size on different points of measurement

- . Measurement invariance testing for points of measurement, gender and type of school
- . Cross-lagged panel models / Random-cross-lagged panel models
- . Hierarchical structure was taken into account (students' school ID)
- . Missing data were handled via full information maximum likelihood (FIML) estimation

			t ₁	t ₂	t ₃	t ₁	t_2	t ₃
МС		25	0.24 (1.14)	0.89 (1.22)	0.15 (1.20)	.78	.72	.81
RC		25	0.24 (1.23)	0.84 (1.37)	0.10 (1.13)	.77	.79	.79
DA	0-99	1	-	1.44 (4.09)	1.36 (2.52)	-	-	-
SH	1-5	1	-	4.25 (0.78)	4.14 (0.80)	-	-	-
SL	0-11	5	8.89 (1.51)	8.39 (1.43)	8.13 (1.66)	.83	.78	.86
SS	0-11	1	8.06 (2.25)	7.10 (2.31)	6.94 (2.26)	-	-	-
HG	1-4	3	-	1.77 (0.69)	1.80 (0.69)	-	.82	.82
HM	1-4	3	-	1.68 (0.71)	1.74 (0.75)	-	.85	.87

Note. DA = Days of absence from school, SH = Self-estimated health, SL = Satisfaction with life, SS = Satisfaction with school, HG = Help-lessness German, HM = Helplessness Math, MC = Mathematical competence, RC = Reading competence. Values for MC and RC are WLEs.



Instruments



Figure 4. Reciprocal relations between cognitive well-being and academic achievement.

Figure 5. Reciprocal relations between emotional well-being and academic achievement.

└── ruben.kleinkorres@tu-dortmund.de

2) Relations were not different for gender and type of school. Model comparisons did not reveal substantial differences in model fit if regression parameters were restricted to being equal between sexes and types of school, respectively (ΔCFI-values ranged from -.006 to -.000).

Conclusion	Discussion and Outlook		
. Mainly positive relations between students' well-being and academic	. Limitations: Partly single item measurement, no consideration of German federal states		
achievement (e.g., Bücker et al., 2018) \rightarrow Importance of mathematical com-	- Strengths: Longitudinal analysis, large sample size, consideration of multiple facets of stu		
petence for students' well-being			
. Neither support only for the SDT (Ryan, & Deci, 2001) nor the broaden-and-	. Further research: Inclusion of variables like self-concept or divergent thinking as mediators,		
build theory (Fredrickson, 2001).	focus on other school types		
Selected References	Contact		
Bücker, S., Nuraydin, S., Simonsmeier, B. A., Schneider, M. & Luhmann, M. (2018). Subjective well-being and academic	Ruben Kleinkorres,		
Diener, E. (1984). Subjective well-being. <i>Psychological Bulletin,</i> 95, 542–575.	Vogelpothsweg 78		
Hascher, T. (2004). Wohlbefinden in der Schule. Münster: Waxmann Verlag.	44227 Dortmund		

Steinmayr, R., Crede, J., McElvany, N., & Wirthwein, L. (2015). Subjective wellbeing, test anxiety, academic achievement: Testing for reciprocal effects. Frontiers in Psychology, 6, 1–13.